

# Siegel measures after Veech

## Abstract

In these notes we summarize the proof of Theorem 0.12 Part 1 of Veech's paper "Siegel measures". It states that in the presence of spectral gap, if the Siegel transform has image in  $L^2$  and if there are absolute bounds for both small and large balls, then one can count almost everywhere.

## 1 Siegel measures

**Definitions and the 2 basic properties** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the set of Borel measures  $\nu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for which with  $N_\nu(R) = \nu(B(R))$  we have

$$M(\nu) = \sup_{0 < R < \infty} \frac{N_\nu(R)}{R^n} < \infty.$$

We can equip  $\mathcal{M}$  with the  $C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$  weak\*-topology. There is a  $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  action, which maps  $\mathcal{M}$  to  $\mathcal{M}$ , and is continuous.

**Definition 1.1.** A Siegel measure is an  $G$ -invariant  $G$ -ergodic probability measure on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Definition 1.2.** The Siegel transform is defined by duality, for  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  set

$$\hat{\phi}(\nu) = \nu(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) d\nu(x)$$

is a function  $\hat{\phi} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** For any Siegel measure  $\mu$ , we have that

$$\hat{\cdot} : \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^n, m) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{M}, \mu)$$

where  $m$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** For any Siegel measure  $\mu$  there exists a finite constant  $c_\mu$  such that for any integrable function  $\phi$  Siegel summation holds

$$\mu(\hat{\phi}) = c_\mu m(\phi)$$

*Proof.* Restricting to  $\phi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , consider  $\Psi(\phi) = \mu(\hat{\phi})$ , which is a positive bounded functional by Theorem 1.3, and thus defines a measure. By assumption on  $\mu$ , it must be  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant. Noting that  $\mathbb{R}^n$  has precisely two  $G$ -orbits, it follows  $G$ -measures on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are linear combination of the Dirac measure at 0 and the Lebesgue measure

$$\Psi(\phi) = a\phi(0) + bm(\phi).$$

Use the assumption on  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}$  (namely  $M(\nu) < \infty$ , here in the limit as  $R \rightarrow 0$ ) implies that for any sequence  $\phi_k \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\phi_k \leq \chi_{B(1/k)}$  and  $\phi(0) = 1$  we have  $\hat{\phi}_k(\nu) = \nu(\phi_k) \ll \frac{1}{k^n} \rightarrow 0$ . By dominated convergence, we conclude  $\mu(\hat{\phi}) \rightarrow 0$  and thus  $a = 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.5.**

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{N_\nu(R)}{R^n} d\mu(\nu) = c(\mu)\omega_n$$

where  $\omega_n$  is the Lebesgue volume of the  $n$ -dimensional unit ball.

*Proof.* Take  $f = \chi_{B(R)}$  then  $\hat{f} = N_\nu(R)$  and apply Siegel's formula.  $\square$

**Remark 1.6.** Analogously to Siegel's formula, one can also show that

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} \left| \frac{N_\nu(R)}{R^n} - c(\mu)\omega_n \right| d\mu(\nu) = o(R),$$

see Remark 5.21 in Veech.

**Theorem 1.7.** If the action of  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  has a spectral gap on  $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \mu)$  and if the Siegel transform satisfies that for all  $\phi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$  one has in fact  $\hat{\phi} \in L^2(\mathcal{M}, \mu)$  (instead of just  $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \mu)$ ) then

$$\left| \frac{N_\nu(R)}{R^n} - c(\mu)\omega_n \right| = o(R) \text{ for } \mu \text{-a.e. } \nu.$$

**Outline for the proof for Theorem 1.3** Let us restrict to  $n = 2$ .

$$G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \quad K = \mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{R}) \quad U = \left\{ u_t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \quad N = U^T = \{n_t\} \quad A^+ = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \text{ for } \lambda > 0 \right\}$$

**Step 0. Reduction to  $\chi_B$**  To check integrability, it is sufficient to check this for  $\chi_{B(R)}$  where by linearity and positivity as for any  $\phi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we have  $\phi \leq c\chi_{B(R)}$  for some  $c, R > 0$ . In fact, it is sufficient to show for  $\chi_B$  with  $B = B(1)$ : Assuming we know that  $\chi_B \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$  for all  $\mu$ , we can define a homothety  $T_\lambda\phi(x) = \lambda^n\phi(\lambda x)$ . Then  $T_\lambda\phi$  is supported on  $B$  if  $\phi$  is supported on  $B(\lambda)$ . Thus  $T_\lambda\phi$  is integrable for any Siegel measure. Noting that if  $\mu$  is Siegel, then also  $T_{\lambda^{-1}*}\mu$  is a Siegel measure ( $G$  commutes with homothety),

$$\mu(\phi) = T_{\lambda^{-1}*}\mu(T_\lambda\phi) < \infty.$$

**Step 1. An ergodic Theorem** For  $G$  acting ergodically on  $(X, \mu)$  for any  $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(X, \mu)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$

$$K \star \delta_a \star f \rightarrow \mu(f) \text{ in } L^p(X, \mu) \text{ as } a \rightarrow \infty$$

using the notation

$$(K \star \delta_a \star f)(x) = \int_K (k \cdot a \cdot f)(x) dk = \int_K f(akx) dk.$$

where  $dk$  is the normalized probability Haar measure on  $K$ . We will reduce this to a second, more classical ergodic theorem

$$T^{-1} \int_0^T n_t \cdot f dt \rightarrow \mu(f) \text{ in } L^p(X, \mu).$$

$p = 2$  is actually sufficient for our purposes.

**Step 1.5. Integrability Criterion** We deduce that if for  $f \geq 0$

$$\limsup_a K \star \delta_a \star f \leq \infty \quad \mu - \text{a.e.}$$

then  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(X, \mu)$ . Indeed, if  $f_T = \min(f, T) \in \mathcal{L}^\infty$  then we can choose a subsequence  $a_n \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $\mu$ -a.e.

$$\mu(f_{T_n}) = \lim K \star \delta_{a_n} \star f_{T_n} \leq \limsup K \star \delta_a \star f < \infty$$

and by monotone convergence also  $\mu(f) < \infty$ .

**Step 2. Bounding  $K \star \delta_a \star \hat{\phi}$**  Note that by Fubini,

$$K \star \delta_a \star \hat{\phi} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_K \phi(akx) dk d\nu(x).$$

The goal is to show that for  $a = (\lambda, \lambda^{-1})$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_K \chi_B(akx) dk d\nu(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{N_\nu(\lambda\tau)}{(\lambda\tau)^2} (1 - \tau^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\tau + \mathcal{O}(M(\nu)\lambda^{-\frac{4}{3}})$$

which implies  $\limsup K \star \delta_a \star \hat{\phi} \leq M(\nu)$  and thus Theorem 1.3.

## 2 Proof of the Ergodic Theorem (Step 1)

By the Howe-Moore theorem, we know that  $N$  acts ergodically on  $L^2(X, \mu)$ . By amenability, we have an ergodic theorem

$$T^{-1} \int_0^T n_t \cdot f dt \rightarrow \mu(f) \text{ in } L^2(X, \mu).$$

Denote the average measure by  $\xi_T$ . There is a map

$$k : N \rightarrow K \quad n \mapsto \exp(\log n - \log n^T)$$

recalling that the Lie algebra of  $U$  is the span of  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and that of  $K$  is spanned by  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Moreover,  $k$  is defined everywhere as  $\log$  is polynomial on  $U$ . For  $a = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda, \lambda^{-1})$ , set  $k(n, \lambda) = k(ana^{-1})$ . Note that  $ana^{-1} \rightarrow e$  as  $a \rightarrow \infty$ , and thus  $k(n, \lambda) \rightarrow e$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ . Since

$$a^{-1}k(n, \lambda)a = \exp(\log n - a^{-2} \log n^T a^2) = ng$$

where  $g = g(n, \lambda)$  satisfies  $\|g - e\|_\infty = \mathcal{O}(\|n\|_\infty \lambda^{-2})$  using the BCH-formula. Since the action in the strong operator norm is continuous, we note that

$$g \cdot f = f + \mathcal{O}(\delta(f, n, \lambda)) \text{ in } L^2(X, \mu)$$

and using that the action is unitary,

$$\begin{aligned} K \star \delta_a \star f &= \int_K ka \cdot f dk = \int_K \int_N kk(n, \lambda) a \cdot f d\xi_T dk \\ &= \int_K \int_N kang \cdot f d\xi_T dk = \mathcal{O}(\delta(f, n_T, \lambda)) + \int_K \int_N kan \cdot f d\xi_T dk \end{aligned}$$

From the ergodic theorem for  $N$ ,  $\int_N n \cdot f d\xi_T \rightarrow \mu(f)$  in  $L^2$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , and using (once more) that the action is unitary, also  $\int_K \int_N kan \cdot f d\xi_T dk \rightarrow \mu(f)$  in  $L^2$  also  $T \rightarrow \infty$  independently of  $a$ . Finally let  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .

### 3 Proof of Upper bound regarding spherical integrals (Step 2)

Put

$$\mathcal{C}\psi(a) = \int_K \psi(ake_2) dk = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \psi(\lambda_1 \sin \theta, \lambda_2 \cos \theta) d\theta$$

where  $a = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  with  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > 0$  is not necessarily of determinant one. For  $\psi = \chi_B$  (or any other even function)

$$\mathcal{C}\psi(a) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{S^{1,+}} \psi(\lambda_1 x, \lambda_2 y) \frac{dx}{y} = \frac{1}{\lambda_1 \pi} \int_{L_{\lambda_1} S^{1,+}} \psi(x, \lambda_2 y) \frac{dx}{y} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \text{diam}(\psi) \|\psi\|_\infty = \frac{2}{\lambda_1}$$

proven by drawing the picture.

Rewriting again, introduce

$$F(Ra) = \mathcal{C}\chi_B(Ra)$$

then noting that  $N_\nu(R) = \nu(B(R))$  as a commulative distribution is monotone and right semi-continuous so that the Lebesgue-Stieltes integral  $dN_\nu(R)$  is well defined,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_K \chi_B(akx) dk d\nu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_K \chi_B(\|x\|ake_2) dk d\nu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(a\|x\|) d\nu(x) = \int_0^\infty F(Ra) dN_\nu(R).$$

We want to bound this using the integration by parts formula,  $d(fg) = fdg + gdf$ . Let  $a = \text{diag}(\lambda, \lambda^{-1}) \in A$ . We split the integral, into  $[0, 2\lambda^{-1}] \cup (2\lambda^{-1}, \infty)$ . By above bound on  $\mathcal{C}\psi(Ra)$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\lambda^{-1}} F(Ra) dN_\nu(R) &\leq \int_0^{2\lambda^{-1}} \frac{2}{R\lambda} dN_\nu(R) = \frac{2}{R\lambda} N_\nu(R) \Big|_0^{2\lambda^{-1}} - \int_0^{2\lambda^{-1}} \frac{-2}{R^2\lambda} N_\nu(R) dR \\ &\leq M(\nu) 4\lambda^{-2} + M(\nu) 4\lambda^{-2} = 8M(\nu) \lambda^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

We note that  $F(Ra) = 0$  if  $R\lambda^{-1} > 1$ , in particular the integral over  $(2\lambda^{-1}, \infty)$  can be restricted to  $(2\lambda^{-1}, \lambda)$ . Assume  $2 < \lambda^2$  in order to have a non-empty interval. We integrate by parts,

$$\int_{2\lambda^{-1}}^\lambda F(Ra) dN_\nu(R) = F(Ra) N_\nu(R) \Big|_{2\lambda^{-1}}^\lambda - \int_{2\lambda^{-1}}^\lambda N_\nu(R) \frac{d}{dR} F(Ra) dR.$$

For the first term we have,

$$F(Ra) N_\nu(R) \Big|_{2\lambda^{-1}}^\lambda \leq M(\nu) (\lambda^2 F(\lambda a) - 4\lambda^{-2} F(\lambda^{-1} a)) = \mathcal{O}(M(\nu) \lambda^{-2})$$

using that  $F(\lambda a) = 0$  and that  $F(\lambda^{-1} a) \leq 2$ .

We have to understand the derivative

$$\frac{d}{dR} F(Ra) = \nabla F(Ra) \cdot a$$

which we claim to be of order

$$-\frac{1}{\lambda R^2} \frac{2}{\pi} (1 - R^2 \lambda^{-2})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( 1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{R^2 \lambda^2}\right) \right).$$

Let  $b = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  then

$$F(b) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \Lambda(b)$$

where  $\Lambda(b) = \left( \frac{1-\lambda_2^2}{\lambda_1^2-\lambda_2^2} \right)^{1/2}$ . Indeed, by symmetry we may consider the integral

$$F(b) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \chi_B(\lambda_1 \sin \theta, \lambda_2 \cos \theta) d\theta$$

over one quadrant only (say  $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$ , changing the weight to  $\frac{2}{\pi}$ ). The integrand then does not vanish for all  $\theta$  such that  $(\lambda_1 \sin \theta)^2 + (\lambda_2 \cos \theta)^2 \leq 1$  or  $\sin^2 \theta \leq \Lambda(b)^2$  and thus we restrict the integral to  $(0, \arcsin \Lambda(b))$ . As  $\frac{d}{dt} \arcsin t = (1-t^2)^{-1/2}$ , we verify that

$$\partial_{\lambda_1} \arcsin \Lambda(b) = \left( \frac{\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2}{\lambda_1^2 - 1} \right)^{1/2} \partial_{\lambda_1} \left( \frac{\lambda_1^2 - 1}{\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2} \right)^{1/2} = \left( \frac{\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2}{\lambda_1^2 - 1} \right)^{1/2} (-1)(1 - \lambda_2^2)^{1/2} \frac{\lambda_1}{(\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2)^{3/2}}$$

and

$$\partial_{\lambda_2} \arcsin \Lambda(b) = \left( \frac{\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2}{\lambda_1^2 - 1} \right)^{1/2} \partial_{\lambda_2} \left( \frac{\lambda_1^2 - 1}{\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2} \right)^{1/2} = \left( \frac{\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2}{\lambda_1^2 - 1} \right)^{1/2} \frac{1 - \lambda_1^2}{(1 - \lambda_2^2)^{1/2}} \frac{\lambda_2}{(\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2)^{3/2}}$$

so that

$$\nabla F(b) \cdot b = \frac{-1}{(\lambda_1^2 - 1)^{1/2} (1 - \lambda_2^2)^{1/2}}.$$

A quick calculation gives that  $(\lambda^2 - 1)^{-1/2} = \lambda^{-1} + o(\lambda^{-2})$  so that for  $b = (R\lambda, R\lambda^{-1})$  we have

$$\frac{d}{dR} F(Ra) = \frac{1}{R} \nabla F(Ra) \cdot Ra = -\frac{2}{\pi} \frac{1}{R^2 \lambda} (1 + o(R^{-2} \lambda^{-2})) \frac{1}{(1 - R^2 \lambda^{-2})^{1/2}}.$$

Thus, plugging in, reordering, and substituting  $\tau = R\lambda^{-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{2\lambda^{-1}}^{\lambda} N_\nu(R) \frac{d}{dR} F(Ra) dR &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{2\lambda^{-1}}^{\lambda} N_\nu(R) \frac{1}{(1 - R^2 \lambda^{-2})^{1/2}} \frac{1}{R^2 \lambda} (1 + o(R^{-2} \lambda^{-2})) dR \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{2\lambda^{-1}}^{\lambda} \frac{N_\nu(R)}{R^2} \frac{1}{(1 - R^2 \lambda^{-2})^{1/2}} (1 + o(R^{-2} \lambda^{-2})) \frac{dR}{\lambda} \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{2\lambda^{-2}}^1 \frac{N_\nu(\tau \lambda^{-1})}{(\tau \lambda^{-1})^2} \frac{1}{(1 - \tau^2)^{1/2}} (1 + o(\tau^{-2} \lambda^{-4})) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

The main term satisfies

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{2\lambda^{-2}}^1 \frac{N_\nu(\tau \lambda^{-1})}{(\tau \lambda^{-1})^2} \frac{1}{(1 - \tau^2)^{1/2}} d\tau = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{N_\nu(\tau \lambda^{-1})}{(\tau \lambda^{-1})^2} \frac{1}{(1 - \tau^2)^{1/2}} d\tau + \mathcal{O}(M(\nu) \lambda^{-2}).$$

As for the  $o$  term, we split the integral into two parts:  $\tau$  large so that  $o(\tau^{-2} \lambda^{-4})$  small and  $\tau$  small such that the domain of integration is small. Thus, let  $1 > \alpha > 0$ , to be optimized, and consider the two intervals  $(2\lambda^{-2}, (2\lambda^{-2})^\alpha)$  and  $((2\lambda^{-2})^\alpha, 1)$ . For the latter, we have

$$o(\tau^{-2} \lambda^{-4}) = o((\lambda^{-2})^{-2\alpha} \lambda^{-4}) = o(\lambda^{4(\alpha-1)})$$

and thus the integral over  $((2\lambda^{-2})^\alpha, 1)$  is  $o(M(\nu) \lambda^{4(\alpha-1)})$ . On  $(2\lambda^{-2}, (2\lambda^{-2})^\alpha)$  we only use that  $o(\tau^{-2} \lambda^{-4})$  is bounded, note that the integral is

$$\mathcal{O} \left( M(\nu) \arcsin \tau \Big|_{2\lambda^{-2}}^{(2\lambda^{-2})^\alpha} \right) = \mathcal{O}(M(\nu) \lambda^{-2\alpha})$$

since  $\arcsin \tau \approx \tau$  for small  $\tau$ . Now choose  $\alpha = 2/3$  to have  $\lambda^{4(\alpha-1)}$  and  $\lambda^{-2\alpha}$  of same exponent  $-\frac{4}{3}$ . This concludes the bound claimed in Step 2.

## 4 Proof of the Theorem 1.7

We need to collect a couple of tools. We restrict again to  $n = 2$ .

**Effective Decay of Matrix coefficients** To say that the action of  $G$  on  $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \mu)$  has a spectral gap implies that there exists a constant  $\eta > 0$  such that for any  $K$ -invariant  $f, f' \in L^2_0(\mathcal{M}, \mu)$  of norm one,

$$|\langle g \cdot f, f' \rangle| \leq \lambda^{-\eta}$$

where  $g = k_1 a k_2$  with  $a = \text{diag}(\lambda, \lambda^{-1}) \in A^+$ . From this, we want to deduce that for  $f$  as above

$$\|K \star \delta_a \star f\|_2 = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-\xi})$$

where  $\xi = \frac{2\eta}{\eta+1}$ .

To that end, change the order of integration and  $G$ -invariance and  $K$ -invariance of the respective Haar measures

$$\|K \star \delta_a \star f\|_2^2 = \int_K dk \int_K dk' \langle ka \cdot f, k' a \cdot f \rangle = \int_K dk \langle a^{-1} k a \cdot f, f \rangle.$$

To apply the bound of matrix coefficients, we have therefore to control  $a_k = \text{diag}(\lambda_k, \lambda_k^{-1})$ , diagonal element in the cartan composition of  $a^{-1} k a$ . Just as in the previous chapter, we split the integral over  $K$  for which  $k \approx e$  on which there is no useful bound because also  $a^{-1} k a \approx e$  (which only happen for  $\|k\| \ll \lambda^{-*}$ ) and respectively for which  $k \in K$  is bounded away from  $e$ . We use the Hilbert-Schmidt-norm on  $G \subset \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{R})$

$$\|g\|_{\text{HS}}^2 = \sum \langle e_i, g e_j \rangle^2$$

where  $e_i$  is some arbitrary orthonormal basis (with respect to the standard inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ). This definition is independent of the choice of  $e_i$ . It will also important to note that  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{HS}}$  is bi- $K$ -invariant, which follows by noting that  $\|g\|_{\text{HS}}^2 = \text{tr}(g^T g)$ . In particular,

$$\|a^{-1} k a\|_{\text{HS}} \leq 2\lambda_k.$$

If  $k = \begin{pmatrix} k_{11} & k_{12} \\ k_{21} & k_{22} \end{pmatrix}$  then

$$\|a^{-1} k a\|_{\text{HS}}^2 = k_{11}^2 + k_{22}^2 + k_{12}^2 \lambda^{-4} + k_{21}^2 \lambda^4 = 2k_{11}^2 + k_{12}^2 \lambda^{-4} + (1 - k_{11}^2) \lambda^4 \geq \max(2k_{11}^2, (1 - k_{11}^2) \lambda^4)$$

and since  $(a^{-1} k a)^{-1} = a^{-1} k^{-1} a$ ,  $(k^{-1})_{11} = k_{11}$  we also get

$$2\lambda_k^{-1} \geq \max(2k_{11}^2, (1 - k_{11}^2) \lambda^4)$$

Note that  $\{k : (1 - k_{11}^2) \leq t\}$  consists of the double cone (in coordinates  $k = k_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$  the usual rotation matrix), those  $\theta$  for which  $(\sin \theta)^2 \leq t$ . As for small  $t$ ,  $\arcsin t \approx t$ , we have get

$$m_K(\{k : (1 - k_{11}^2) \leq t\}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} m_{\mathbb{R}}((\sin \theta)^2 \leq t) = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{t}).$$

Splitting the integral over  $K$ , we have

$$\int_K dk \langle a^{-1} k a \cdot f, f \rangle \leq \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{t}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \frac{1}{\lambda^4}\right)^\eta\right)$$

Take  $t = \lambda^\alpha$  and choose  $\alpha$  such that both terms are of both exponent, i.e.  $\alpha = -8\frac{\eta}{1+\eta}$  and

$$\|K \star \delta_a \star f\|_2^2 = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-4\frac{\eta}{1+\eta}})$$

**Borel-Cantelli** With  $f = \chi_B(\nu) - c(\mu)\omega_n$ , and  $\{a_n\}$  such that  $\lambda_n^{-4\frac{\eta}{1+\eta}}$  is summable, we have by Borel-Cantelli that  $K \star \delta_{a_n} \star \chi_B(\nu) \rightarrow (\mu)\omega_n$   $\mu$ -a.e./ and therefore also the average

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{N_\nu(\lambda_n \tau)}{(\lambda_n \tau)^2} (1 - \tau^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\tau$$

by Step 2. Using the Wiener Tauberian theorem one can show that this implies that also the average

$$\frac{1}{R} \int_0^R \frac{N_\nu(R)}{R^2} dR$$

converges. Finally, one can deduce that also pointwise convergence holds.

To do: Add Wiener-Tauberian Theorem. The last step (Lemma 5.17 in Veech) might also follow from part b) in Rudin's book of this Theorem about slowly oscillating functions.

## 5 Epilogue: Siegel's application

Let  $\Gamma = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) < G$  and note that  $\Gamma e_1$  agrees with the set of primitive integer points. Let  $\pi$  the  $G$ -invariant map

$$\pi : \Gamma \backslash G \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \quad g\Gamma \mapsto \nu_g = \sum_{v \in g\Gamma e_1} \delta_v$$

onto the space of counting measures  $\mathcal{M}$  over primitive lattice points. Let  $\mu$  be the push forward of the Haar measure on  $\Gamma \backslash G$ , necessarily ergodic because Haar measure is. Note that the sum excludes the zero vector. Moreover,  $N_{\nu_g}(R) \sim \zeta(n)^{-1} \omega_n R^n$ , which implies that  $M(\nu_g) < \infty$  and thus that  $\mu$  is a Siegel measure. By the corollary, the asymptotics also show that  $c(\mu) = \zeta(n)^{-1}$ .

**Minkowski - Hlawka Theorem** Let  $B$  be a star domain. Suppose that for each  $\Lambda \in G$ ,  $\Lambda^{-1}B \cap \mathbb{Z}^n \neq \{0\}$  then it must also intersect to primitive points by assumption that  $B$  is a star domain. Let  $f = \chi_B$  then

$$\sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^n \text{ primitive}} f(\Lambda v) = \sum_{v \in \Lambda^{-1}B \text{ primitive}} 1 \geq 1$$

and thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) dx \geq \zeta(n)$$

using Siegel's formula for  $\mu = \pi_* m_{\Gamma \backslash G}$  and  $c(\mu) = \zeta(n)^{-1}$  as above. Thus we have  $\text{vol}(B) \geq \zeta(n)$ . This implies, that if for some  $B$  we have  $\text{vol}(B) < \zeta(n)$ , then there must be a set of positive measure on  $\mathcal{M}$  for which all elements  $\nu$  satisfy  $\nu(\chi_B) < 1$ , thus  $\nu(\chi_B) = 0$ , that is, there exists lattices which do not intersect  $B$ .

If  $B = B_r$  is a ball of volume  $\sigma_n r^n$ , then this says that there is a set of positive measure of lattices with shortest vector greater than  $\sqrt[n]{\zeta(n)} / \sigma_n$ .

For sphere packing, this means the following. Apply the previous to a ball  $S$  of volume less than  $\zeta(n)$  and take a lattice  $\Lambda$  without intersection. Then  $\Lambda + S/2$  are disjoint. Attaching to each fundamental domain of  $\Lambda$  the ball  $S/2$ , we get an asymptotic density of  $\zeta(n)/2^n$ .