

Ledrappier's paper on "Positivity of Exponents"

Setup:

$(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ prob space $\Theta: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ invertible, $\Theta_* \mu = \mu$

$A: \Omega \rightarrow GL_d(\mathbb{R})$ $\int \log(\max \|A\|, \|A^{-1}\|) d\mu < \infty$
 "skew product"

$\hat{\Theta}: \hat{\Omega} \rightarrow \hat{\Omega}$ $\hat{\Omega} = \Omega \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$
 $(\omega, v) \mapsto (\Theta \omega, A_\omega v)$

$$A_\omega^n = A_{\Theta \omega}^{n-1} \cdots A_{\Theta \omega} A_\omega$$

Lyapunov exponents: $\int \log \|A_\omega^n\| d\mu$ subadditive (by $\|A_\omega^{nm}\| \leq \|A_\omega^n\|^m \|A_\omega\|^n$)

By Birkhoff:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int \log \|A_\omega^n\| d\mu = \gamma^+$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{-n} \int \log \|A_\omega^n\|^{-1} d\mu = \gamma^-$$

RMK: $\|B\| \|B^{-1}\| \geq 1 \Rightarrow \gamma^+ \geq \gamma^-$

$$\begin{aligned} & \log \|A^n\| \|A^{-n}\| \geq 0 \\ & = \log \|A^n\| + \log \|A^{-n}\| \geq 0 \\ & \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ & \quad \gamma^+ \quad -\gamma^- \end{aligned}$$

μ prob on $\hat{\Omega} = \Omega \times \mathbb{P}$ μ is $\hat{\Theta}$ -inv, $\pi_\Omega * \mu = \mu$ "the marginal of μ on Ω is μ "

Disintegrate wrt $\pi_\Omega^{-1}(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{B} \times \{\phi, \mathbb{P}\}$

$$\mu = \int \mu_{\omega, v}^{\pi_\Omega^{-1} \mathcal{B}} d\mu(\omega, v)$$

Note: Can rewrite $\mu_{\omega, v}^{\pi_\Omega^{-1} \mathcal{B}} = \delta_\omega \times \mu_\omega$ μ_ω prob on $\mathbb{P}$

$$\mathbb{E}[fg|A] = f \mathbb{E}[g|A] \text{ if } f \text{ is } A\text{-measurable}$$

Apply $f(\omega)g(v)$

$$\text{Also } \int_{\pi^{-1}B} f = \int_B f \circ \pi \Rightarrow \mu = \int \delta_\omega \otimes \mu_\omega d\mathbf{m}(\omega)$$

Def: $A \subset B$ decreasing w.r.t. $\ominus$ if $\ominus A \subset A$

Not: $\sigma(A_\omega)$ = smallest σ -algebra for which $\omega \mapsto A_\omega$ is measurable

$$A_{-\infty} = \sigma\left(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \ominus^n A\right) (= A_{-\infty}^0 \text{ in notation of prev. lectures})$$

Theorem (Ledrappier '86)

Assume $A \subset B$ $\ominus$ -decreasing, $\sigma(A) \subset A$

Suppose: i) $\gamma^+ = \gamma^-$

ii) $\omega \mapsto \mu_\omega$ $A_{-\infty}$ -measurable

Then $\omega \mapsto \mu_\omega$ is A -measurable

Example (special case of Furstenberg '63)

$$\Omega = \{a, b\}^{\mathbb{Z}} \quad (-) \text{ shift } m = (p_1 \delta_a + p_2 \delta_b)^{\mathbb{Z}} \quad p_1 + p_2 = 1$$

$$a = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right], \quad b = \text{irrational rotation} \quad \omega = (\dots, \omega_{-1}, \omega_0, \omega_1, \dots)$$

$$A_\omega = \omega_0 \quad \text{Then } \gamma^+ > \gamma^-, (\Leftrightarrow \gamma^+ > 0)$$

Corollary: $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, m), A_\omega$ as above

Suppose: i) no measure on $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ A_ω -invariant for m-a.e. ω

$$\text{ii) } \sigma(\{A_{\ominus^n \omega}\}_{n \geq 0}) \cap \sigma(\{A_{\ominus^n \omega}\}_{n < 0})$$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma^+ > \gamma^- = \text{trivial}$$

Deduce example from cor:

c) a -inv meas are atomic on $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

b -inv meas is Lebesgue

ii) $\sigma(\{A_{\Theta^n w}\}_{n \geq 0}) = \sigma(\text{right-infinite sequences } (w_0, w_1, w_2, \dots))$

$A_{\Theta^n w} = w_n$ $\sigma(\{A_{\Theta^n}\}_{n < \infty}) = \sigma(\text{alg left-infinite sequences})$

$\leadsto$ trivial intersection

Proof of Cor:

$\mathcal{C} = \sigma(\mathcal{F}_w)$, assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is generating wrt Θ , $\mathcal{C}_{-\infty}^{\infty} = \mathcal{B}$
(If not, $\mu = \int \mu_w^{\mathcal{B}} d\mathbf{m}(w)$ by $\mu' = \int \mu_w^{\mathcal{C}_{-\infty}^{\infty}} d\mathbf{m}(w)$)

$\leadsto w \mapsto \mu_w$ is $\mathcal{C}_{-\infty}^{\infty} = \mathcal{B}$ -measurable

$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}$ (decreasing) $\mathcal{A}_{-\infty} = \mathcal{C}_{-\infty}^{\infty} = \mathcal{B}$
 $= \sigma(\bigcup_{n \geq 0} \Theta^n \mathcal{C})$

Assume by contradiction that $\gamma^+ \neq \gamma^-$.

Apply Thm: $w \mapsto \mu_w$ is $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}$ -measurable

Repeat the argument applied $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mathbf{m}), \Theta^{-1}, \mathcal{F}_{\Theta^{-1}w}$

$\Theta^{-1}w \sim w \sim \Theta w$
 $v \mapsto \mu_v$

$v \in \text{Fiber at } w$

$\mu_w|_v \in \text{Fiber at } \Theta w$

μ_w^{-1} lines Θw

$\mu_{\Theta^{-1}w}^{-1}$ action at fiber over w

Equal Lyap for $\mathcal{F}_w$ imply equal exp's for $\mathcal{F}_{\Theta^{-1}w}$

$\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{C}_{-\infty}^1$ $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{-\infty} = \mathcal{C}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$

Then $\leadsto \mu_w$ is also $\mathcal{C}_{-\infty}^1$ -measurable wrt $\hat{\Theta}^{-1}$ $w \mapsto \mu_w$ same disintegration

$\leadsto \mu_w \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\infty} \cap \mathcal{C}_{-\infty}^1$ -measurable
|| ass. c)
trivial

$\leadsto \mu_w = \nu$ const ν prob $P(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$

Lemma: μ is $\hat{\Theta}$ -invariant $\Rightarrow \mu_{\Theta w} = \Pi_w * \mu_w$ for m-a.e w

Assuming the Lemma:

$\nu = \mu_w = \Pi_w * \mu_w = \Pi_w * \nu$ m-a.e w
 $\Rightarrow \nu$ is Π_w -inv a.e w $\Downarrow$ c) $\square$

Proof of Lemma

Recall (Lemma 2, Lecture 4) $\hat{\Theta}: \hat{\Omega} \rightarrow \hat{\Omega}$, A sub- σ -alg of $\mathcal{B}(\hat{\Omega})$
 $\hat{\Theta}_* \mu_{(\omega, v)}^{\hat{\Theta}^{-1}A} = \mu_{\Theta(\omega, v)}^A$

$A = \mathcal{B} \times \mathbb{Z}$ $\hat{\Theta}^{-1}A = A$ (RMK: $\hat{\Theta}$ -invariant!)
 test fct $\hat{\Omega}$ $f(\omega)g(v)$

$$\int_{\hat{\Omega}} f(\omega)g(v) d\mu_{(\omega, v)}^{\hat{\Theta}^{-1}A} = \int_{\hat{\Omega}} f(\omega)g(v) d\mu_{\Theta(\omega, v)}^A$$

$$f = \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Z}} \quad \Pi_w * \mu_w(g) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}} g(v) d\mu_w(v)$$

$$\Pi_w * \mu_w = \mu_{\Theta w} \quad \square$$

$$(X, \mathcal{B}_X, \mu) \quad T: X \rightarrow X \quad T_*\mu = \mu \quad \Gamma: X \rightarrow GL_d(\mathbb{R})$$

$$\nu \text{ on } X \times \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad \Pi_X^* \nu = \mu \quad \hat{T}_* \nu = \nu \quad \hat{T}(x, \nu)$$

$$\text{Disintegrate } \nu = \int S_x \otimes \nu_x \, d\mu(x) \quad = (T_x, \Pi_x)$$

Let $f(x, \cdot)$ be the Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$\text{of } \Pi_x^* \nu_{T_x} \text{ wrt } \nu_x$$

$$f(x, \nu) = \frac{d \Pi_x^* \nu_{T_x}}{d \nu_x}(\nu)$$

and we have the Lebesgue decomposition

$$\int f(\Pi_x^{-1} \nu) \, d \nu_{T_x}(\nu) = \int f(\nu) f(x, \nu) \, d \nu_x$$

$$+ \int f(\nu) \, d \xi_x(\nu)$$

where $\xi_x \perp \nu_x$
"singular"

Definition: (Fiberwise entropy)

$$a_\nu(\hat{T}) = \int -\log f(x, \nu) \, d \nu(x, \nu)$$

Proposition: $a_\nu \geq 0$, and " $=$ " iff for μ a.e. x

$$\Pi_x^* \nu_x = \nu_{T_x}$$

Proof: Decompose the integral $\{f > 0\}$ and $\{f = 0\}$

$$\int_{f > 0} -\log f \frac{d \nu}{\nu(f > 0)} \geq -\log \frac{\nu(f)}{\nu(f > 0)} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

because

$$\nu(f) = \int \nu_x(f(x, \cdot)) \, d\mu = \int \Pi_x^* \nu_{T_x}(1) - \xi_x(1) \, d\mu$$

$$= 1 - \nu(j=0) = \nu(j>0)$$

strict convexity of $-\log \Rightarrow (||=|| \Leftrightarrow j \equiv 1)$

$$\Leftrightarrow \xi_x \equiv 0 \text{ and } \Pi_x^{-1} \star \nu_x = \nu_x$$

p a e x $\square$

Thm: $a_\nu \leq (d-1)(\gamma^+ - \gamma^-)$

Proof of 1st Thm given 2nd Thm

$$\Theta: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega \quad \Theta_* m = m \quad A \subset B \quad \Theta^{-1} A \subset A$$

Fact: $\exists (X, \mathcal{B}_X, \mu)$ $T: X \rightarrow X$, $\pi: \Omega \rightarrow X$
(Ch 5, Ch 6 EW) s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Omega & \xrightarrow{\Theta} & \Omega & & \\ \pi \downarrow & \times & \downarrow \pi & & \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_X) = A \\ X & \xrightarrow{T} & X & & \end{array}$$

$$\pi^{-1}(\pi(w)) = [w]_A \quad (w \mapsto \mu_w^A)$$

(Thm by Rokhlin)

Recall: $\sigma(A) \subset A$

$\Rightarrow A$ also defined on X

$$\hat{\Omega} \xrightarrow{\hat{\Theta}} \hat{\Omega} \quad \mu \quad \pi_* \mu = m$$

$$\downarrow \times \downarrow$$

$$\hat{X} \xrightarrow{\hat{T}} \hat{X}$$

$$\nu = \hat{\pi}_* \mu \quad \pi_* \nu = \rho$$

Notation: $\mu_w^{A-\infty} = \text{RHS of } \mu_{(w,v)}^{T_n^{-1}A-\infty} = \mu_{(w,v)}^{A-\infty \times \mathbb{I}}$

Lemma 1: $\mu_w^{A-\infty} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{\bar{\theta}_w^n}^n \times \nu_{\pi(\bar{\theta}_w^n)}$

γ^+, γ^- are same for Ω , and X

Ass: 1) $\gamma^+ = \gamma^- \xRightarrow{\text{thm 2}} a_\Omega = 0 \xRightarrow{\text{prop}} \mu_X \times \nu_X = \nu_{T_X}$

(2) $w \mapsto \mu_w$ is $A-\infty$ -measurable
 $\mu_w = \mu_w^{A-\infty}$

$$\mu_w^{A-\infty} = \lim_n \mu_{\bar{\theta}_w^n}^n \times \nu_{\pi(\bar{\theta}_w^n)} \stackrel{(*)}{=} \nu_{T\pi(\bar{\theta}_w^n)} = \nu_{\pi(\bar{\theta}_w^{n+1})}$$

$$= \nu_{\pi(w)}$$

Recall $\pi^{-1}(B_X) = A$
 $\Rightarrow \mu_w^{A-\infty}$ is A -meas (b/c ν_X is B_X meas)
 $\Rightarrow \mu_w$ is A -measurable

□

Next: Lemma, Thm 2